MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

HARRIS METHODIST FT WORTH 3255 W PIONEER PKWY PANTEGO TX 76013-4620

Respondent Name

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

COMMERCE & INDUSTRY INSURANCE COMPANY

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

MFDR Date Received

M4-07-4893-02

March 26, 2007

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "This claim has been partially reimbursed; however, it was not paid according to the Acute Care Hospital Fee Guidelines set forth by the TWCC. . . . This claim meets all of the criteria defined by the SOAH docket and should have been reimbursed \$108,552.42; however; the carrier paid \$57,834.33 they came to this number by carving out the implant charges. As fore mentioned they cannot do this and in order for the carrier to be compliant with rule 134.401 and the lead Stop-Loss SOAH docket, the carrier will need to pay an additional \$50,718.09."

Amount in Dispute: \$50,718.09

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Requestor has failed to sustain its burden of proving entitlement to the stop-loss exception. The Division must conclude that payment should be awarded in accordance with the general *per diem* payment in accordance with 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 134.401 (repealed). Otherwise, the Division should determine the proper audited charges in accordance with Division audit obligations and rules."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson, Post Office Drawer 201329, Austin, TX 78720

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 18, 2006 to May 2, 2006	Inpatient Services	\$50,718.09	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401 sets out the fee guideline for acute care inpatient hospital services.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 18 Duplicate claim/service.
 - W1 Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
 - 42 Charges exceed our fee schedule or maximum allowable amount.

Findings

- 1. This dispute relates to inpatient hospital services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5), which requires that "When the following ICD-9 diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate: (A) Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50); (B) Burns (ICD-9 codes 940-949.9); and (C) Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) (ICD-9 codes 042-044.9)." Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 806.29. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).
- 2. For disputed dates of service prior to May 2, 2006, the applicable version of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(c), effective May 16, 2002, 27 Texas Register 4047 requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission." For disputed dates of service on or after May 2, 2006, the applicable version of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, 31 Texas Register 3561, requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection 134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 Texas Register 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement "This claim has been partially reimbursed; however, it was not paid according to the Acute Care Hospital Fee Guidelines set forth by the TWCC. . . . This claim meets all of the criteria defined by the SOAH docket and should have been reimbursed \$108,552.42; however; the carrier paid \$57,834.33 they came to this number by carving out the implant charges. As fore mentioned they cannot do this and in order for the carrier to be compliant with rule 134.401 and the lead Stop-Loss SOAH docket, the carrier will need to pay an additional \$50,718.09."
 - As noted above, the Division's former *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401 is not applicable to the services in dispute, the applicable rule for reimbursement is 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.
 - Moreover, per §134.401(c)(6), "The diagnosis codes specified in paragraph (5) of this subsection are exempt
 from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate."
 As stated above, the Division has found that the primary diagnosis is a diagnosis code specified in
 §134.401(c)(5); therefore, the disputed services are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire
 admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate.
 - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage
 of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was
 considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care
 Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 that:

"A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

	Grayson Richardson	December 27, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.